



Republic of the Philippines  
**Sandiganbayan**  
 Quezon City

**SEVENTH DIVISION**

*MINUTES of the proceedings held on 20 June 2017.*

*Present:*

<i>Justice ALEXANDER G. GESMUNDO</i>	-----	<i>Chairperson</i>
<i>Justice MA. THERESA DOLORES C. GOMEZ-ESTOESTA</i>	-----	<i>Member</i>
<i>Justice ZALDY V. TRESPESES</i>	-----	<i>Member</i>

*The following resolution was adopted:*

***SB-14-CRM-0001 – PEO. v. ORLANDO A. FUA, JR.***

For resolution are the following:

1. The prosecution’s “FORMAL OFFER OF DOCUMENTARY EXHIBITS FOR THE PROSECUTION” dated 11 May 2017;<sup>1</sup> and
2. Accused Orlando Fua’s “COMMENT/OPPOSITION RE: PROSECUTION FORMAL OFFER OF EXHIBITS” dated 18 May 2017.<sup>2</sup>

The Court resolves to ADMIT the following exhibits over the objection of the accused: Exhibits “A”, “A-1”, “A-2” to “A-10”, and “A-10-a”, as they appear to be original documents; “B”, “B-1”, “B-2” to “B-5”, and “C”, as they are certified true copies from the Siquijor RTC Branch 46; “D”, “D-1”, “D-2” to “D-5”, and “F”, as they appear to be original documents; “F-11”, “F-12”, “F-13”, “F-14”, “F-15”, “F-16”, “F-17”, “F-18” to “F-19”, “F-22” to “F-24”, and “F-28”, as they are certified true copies from the Siquijor RTC Branch 46; “F-40” to “F-41”, as they appear to be original documents; “F-42”, “F-45” to “F-46”, “F-47”, “F-48” to “F-49”, “F-50”, “F-51”, and “F-52”, as they are certified true copies from the Siquijor RTC Branch 46 and since Exhibits “F-45”, “F-47”, “F-48” to “F-49”, and “F-51” are common exhibits for the prosecution and defense; “F-62” to “F-65”, as they are certified true copies from the Siquijor RTC Branch 46; “I”, “I-1”, and “I-2” to “I-5”, as they appear to be original documents; “J”, “K”, “L”,

<sup>1</sup> Record, Vol. 4, pp.41-196.

<sup>2</sup> Record, Vol. 4, pp. 198-205.

“M”, “N”, “O”, “P”,<sup>3</sup> “Q”, “R”, and “S”, as they are certified true copies from the Office of the Provincial Prosecutor - Siquijor; “U”, which is a certified true and faithful reproduction of the original testified on by witness Reynaldo Valmoría;<sup>4</sup> and “W”, “W-1”, and “W-2” to “W-10”, which appears to be original documents.

The admission of these exhibits is subject to this Court’s appreciation of their probative value and as to the purposes for which they were offered. With this disquisition, the prosecution is deemed to have rested its case.


The presentation of the defense evidence set on 07 to 10 August 2017 at 8:30 in the morning/2:00 in the afternoon in Cebu City shall proceed as previously scheduled.

**SO ORDERED.**

**GESMUNDO, J., Chairperson**

**GOMEZ-ESTOESTA, J.**

**TRESPESES, J.**



<sup>3</sup> The prosecution’s formal offer of documentary exhibits, in its page 17 (Record, Vol. 4, p. 57), mentions Exhibits “P” to “P-4. However, it is evident on the documents submitted that there are no sub-markings in Exhibit “P” pertaining to Exhibits “P-1”, P-2”, “P-3” and P4”.

<sup>4</sup> Record, Vol. 3, p. 49.