



Republic of the Philippines
Sandiganbayan
 Quezon City

SEVENTH DIVISION

MINUTES of the proceedings held on June 22, 2017.

Present:

<i>ALEXANDER G. GESMUNDO</i>	-----	<i>Chairperson</i>
<i>MA. THERESA DOLORES C. GOMEZ-ESTOESTA</i>	-----	<i>Associate Justice</i>
<i>ZALDY V. TRESPESES</i>	-----	<i>Associate Justice</i>

The following resolution was adopted:

SB-14-CRM-0419 – People v. Arturo O. Radaza, et al.

In her “URGENT MOTION TO TRAVEL” dated June 18, 2017, accused JENNET B. VALENCIA prays that she be allowed to travel to Tokyo, Japan from June 30, 2017 to July 14, 2017.

Over the objection of the prosecution,¹ the instant motion was **GRANTED** in open court, subject to the usual terms and conditions imposed by the Court, to wit:

1. That her itinerary of travel shall cover only Tokyo, Japan;
2. That accused shall leave not earlier than June 30, 2017 and shall be back in the Philippines not later than July 14, 2017;
3. That accused shall post a travel bond in the amount of Sixty Thousand (Php 60,000.00) pesos which shall guarantee her faithful compliance with the terms and conditions imposed herein;
4. That within five days after accused’s return to the Philippines, she shall present herself in person to the Division Clerk of Court, together with her passport and photocopies of the pages thereof indicating the stamp of her departure from, and entry or arrival in the Philippines, the photocopies to be authenticated by the Division Clerk of Court after a comparison with the original thereof, and to submit to this Court a written formal compliance with the terms

¹ Reiterating its “COMMENT/OBJECTION *Accused Jennet B. Valencia’s Urgent Motion to Travel*” dated June 15, 2017.

and conditions of this Resolution within 15 days of her expected date of return;

5. That if, for whatever reason, the accused shall not be able to undertake the travel abroad as herein authorized, she shall, within five days from her expected date of return had she undertaken the same, nonetheless personally present herself to the Division Clerk of Court, together with photocopies of the pertinent pages of her passport bearing no stamp of departure from or entry into the Philippines during the relevant period, the same to be authenticated by the Division Clerk of Court after proper comparison with the passport itself and submitted together with an appropriate manifestation from the accused;
6. That any material misrepresentation made in her Urgent Motion to Travel shall be punished as contempt of this Court and shall be dealt with accordingly;
7. That she will abide faithfully with all her undertakings herein, such that any violation of the terms and conditions contained in this Resolution shall be sufficient ground for this Court to order the forfeiture of her travel bond, as well as to cause the issuance of a warrant for her arrest;
8. That notice of further proceedings hereon should she not return as undertaken, shall be made to her through her counsel on record; and
9. That if she fails to return as undertaken, trial will proceed as scheduled.

SO ORDERED. *if*

GESMUNDO, J.

GOMEZ-ESTOESTA, J.

TRESPESES, J.






