



Republic of the Philippines  
*Sandiganbayan*  
 Quezon City

\*\*\*

SEVENTH DIVISION

*MINUTES of the proceedings held on October 18, 2017.*

*Present:*

<i>MA. THERESA DOLORES C. GOMEZ-ESTOESTA</i>	-----	<i>Chairperson</i>
<i>ZALDY V. TRESPESES</i>	-----	<i>Associate Justice</i>
<i>BAYANI H. JACINTO*</i>	-----	<i>Associate Justice</i>

*The following resolution was adopted:*

***SB-12-CRM-0164 up to 0167 – People v. Jesus A. Verzosa, et al.***

In his “VERIFIED MOTION FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A TRAVEL AUTHORITY” dated September 18, 2017 as clarified in his “MANIFESTATION/COMPLIANCE (Re: Motion for the Issuance of a Travel Authority)” dated September 26, 2017 and October 11, 2017, accused Jesus A. Verzosa prays that he be allowed to travel to California and Nevada, USA from November 2, 2017 to December 2, 2017 and while there, he will be staying at the residences of certain individuals stated in his Itinerary attached as Annex “B” to his Manifestation/Compliance.

Over the objection of the prosecution,<sup>1</sup> the instant motion was **GRANTED** by the Court during the hearing on the motion on September 22, 2017, subject to the usual terms and conditions imposed by the Court, to wit:

1. That his itinerary of travel shall cover only California and Nevada, USA;
2. That accused shall leave not earlier than November 2, 2017 and shall be back in the Philippines not later than December 2, 2017;
3. That accused shall post a travel bond in the amount of Sixty Thousand pesos (Php 60,000.00) which shall guarantee his faithful compliance with the terms and conditions imposed herein;
4. That within five days after accused’s return to the Philippines, he shall present himself in person to the Division Clerk of Court, together with his passport and photocopies of the pages thereof

<sup>1</sup> Per Admin Order No. 284-2017 dated August 18, 2017.

The prosecution’s “COMMENT/OPPOSITION TO ACCUSED VERZOSA’S ‘VERIFIED MOTION FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A TRAVEL AUTHORITY’” dated September 20, 2017.

*12.8*

RESOLUTION

People v. Versoza, et al.

Page 2 of 2

X-----X

indicating the stamp of his departure from, and entry or arrival in the Philippines, the photocopies to be authenticated by the Division Clerk of Court after a comparison with the original thereof, and to submit to this Court a written formal compliance with the terms and conditions of this Resolution within 15 days of his expected date of return;

5. That if, for whatever reason, the accused shall not be able to undertake the travel abroad as herein authorized, he shall, within five days from his expected date of return had he undertaken the same, nonetheless personally present himself to the Division Clerk of Court, together with photocopies of the pertinent pages of his passport bearing no stamp of departure from or entry into the Philippines during the relevant period, the same to be authenticated by the Division Clerk of Court after proper comparison with the passport itself and submitted together with an appropriate manifestation from the accused;
6. That any material misrepresentation made in his Motion to Travel shall be punished as contempt of this Court and shall be dealt with accordingly;
7. That he will abide faithfully with all his undertakings herein, such that any violation of the terms and conditions contained in this Resolution shall be sufficient ground for this Court to order the forfeiture of his travel bond, as well as to cause the issuance of a warrant for his arrest;
8. That notice of further proceedings hereon should he not return as undertaken, shall be made to him through his counsel on record; and
9. That if he fails to return as undertaken, trial will proceed as scheduled.

SO ORDERED. *if*

GOMEZ-ESTOESTA, J.

TRESPESES, J.

JACINTO, J.

*Jan*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*M. J.*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Alvin*  
\_\_\_\_\_