



Republic of the Philippines  
*Sandiganbayan*  
 Quezon City

\*\*\*

SEVENTH DIVISION

*MINUTES of the proceedings held on April 6, 2018.*

*Present:*

<i>MA. THERESA DOLORES C. GOMEZ-ESTOESTA</i>	-----	<i>Associate Justice</i>
<i>ZALDY V. TRESPESES</i>	-----	<i>Associate Justice</i>
<i>BAYANI H. JACINTO*</i>	-----	<i>Associate Justice</i>

*The following resolution was adopted:*

***SB-17-CRM-2414 & 2415 – People v. Isabelo J. Maquino, et al.***

In his “CONSOLIDATED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ALLOW ACCUSED TO TRAVEL TO TAIWAN” dated March 31, 2018, accused Raymund E. Tabuga prays that he be allowed to travel to Taiwan from April 24 to 28<sup>1</sup>, 2018 and while there, he will be staying first at Hotel National Taichung with address at 57 Guanquian Road, West District Taichung City, Taiwan and from April 25 to 27, 2018, he will be staying at Midtown Richardson Hotel located at 4 Xiushan St., Zhongzheng District, Taipei City, Taiwan.

Over the objection of the prosecution,<sup>2</sup> the instant motion was **GRANTED** during the hearing of the motion, subject to the usual terms and conditions imposed by the Court, to wit:

1. That his itinerary of travel shall cover only Taiwan;
2. That accused shall leave not earlier than April 24, 2018 and shall be back in the Philippines not later than April 28, 2018;
3. That accused shall post a travel bond in the amount of One Hundred Twenty Thousand pesos (Php 120,000.00) which shall guarantee his faithful compliance with the terms and conditions imposed herein;
4. That within five days after accused’s return to the Philippines, he shall present himself in person to the Division Clerk of Court,

\* Per Admin Order No.284-2017 dated August 18, 2017.  
 1 As modified during the hearing on the motion.  
 2 The prosecution orally opposed the motion.

*17.7*

RESOLUTION

*People v. Maquino, et al.*

Page 2 of 2

x-----x

together with his passport and photocopies of the pages thereof indicating the stamp of his departure from, and entry or arrival in the Philippines, the photocopies to be authenticated by the Division Clerk of Court after a comparison with the original thereof, and to submit to this Court a written formal compliance with the terms and conditions of this Resolution within 15 days of his expected date of return;


5. That if, for whatever reason, the accused shall not be able to undertake the travel abroad as herein authorized, he shall, within five days from his expected date of return had he undertaken the same, nonetheless personally present himself to the Division Clerk of Court, together with photocopies of the pertinent pages of his passport bearing no stamp of departure from or entry into the Philippines during the relevant period, the same to be authenticated by the Division Clerk of Court after proper comparison with the passport itself and submitted together with an appropriate manifestation from the accused;
6. That any material misrepresentation made in his Motion to Travel shall be punished as contempt of this Court and shall be dealt with accordingly;
7. That he will abide faithfully with all his undertakings herein, such that any violation of the terms and conditions contained in this Resolution shall be sufficient ground for this Court to order the forfeiture of his travel bond, as well as to cause the issuance of a warrant for his arrest;
8. That notice of further proceedings hereon should he not return as undertaken, shall be made to him through his counsel on record; and
9. That if he fails to return as undertaken, trial will proceed as scheduled.

**SO ORDERED.**

**GOMEZ-ESTOESTA, J.**

**TRESPESES, J.**

**JACINTO, J.**



The image shows three horizontal lines representing signature lines. The top line has a signature that appears to be 'J. Gomez-Estoesta'. The middle line has a signature that appears to be 'J. Trespeses'. The bottom line has a signature that appears to be 'J. Jacinto'.