



Republic of the Philippines
Sandiganbayan
 Quezon City

SEVENTH DIVISION

MINUTES of the proceedings held on May 10, 2019.

Present:

<i>MA. THERESA DOLORES C. GOMEZ-ESTOESTA</i> -----	<i>Chairperson</i>
<i>ZALDY V. TRESPESES</i> -----	<i>Associate Justice</i>
<i>BAYANI H. JACINTO*</i> -----	<i>Associate Justice</i>

The following resolution was adopted:

SB-12-CRM-0164 up to 0167 – People v. Jesus A. Verzosa, et al.

In his “MOTION TO TRAVEL ABROAD” dated April 30, 2019, accused **Hilario B. De Vera** prays that he be allowed to travel to Singapore from May 15 to 17, 2019. While in Singapore, accused will be staying at hotel indicated in his motion.

Over the objection of the prosecution,¹ the instant motion is hereby **GRANTED**, subject to the usual terms and conditions imposed by the Court, to wit:

1. That accused’s itinerary of travel shall cover only **Singapore**;
2. That accused shall leave not earlier than **May 15, 2019** and shall be back in the Philippines not later than **May 17, 2019**;
3. That the travel bond posted by him in the amount of Sixty Thousand Pesos (P60,000.00) under O.R. No. 5086681 Y dated October 25, 2013 shall continue to guarantee his faithful compliance with the terms and conditions imposed herein;
4. That within five days after accused’s return to the Philippines, he shall present himself in person to the Division Clerk of Court, together with his passport and photocopies of the pages thereof indicating the stamp of his departure from, and entry or arrival in the Philippines, the photocopies to be authenticated by the Division Clerk of Court after a comparison with the original thereof, and to submit to this Court a written formal compliance with the terms and conditions of this Resolution within 15 days of his expected date of return;

* Per Administrative Order No. 163-2019 dated May 9, 2019.

¹ The prosecution filed its “COMMENT/OPPOSITION (To Accused De Vera’s Motion to Travel Abroad)” dated May 9, 2019.

RESOLUTION

People v. Versoza, et al.

Page 2 of 2

x-----x


5. That if, for whatever reason, the accused shall not be able to undertake the travel abroad as herein authorized, he shall, within five days from his expected date of return had he undertaken the same, nonetheless personally present himself to the Division Clerk of Court, together with photocopies of the pertinent pages of his passport bearing no stamp of departure from or entry into the Philippines during the relevant period, the same to be authenticated by the Division Clerk of Court after proper comparison with the passport itself and submitted together with an appropriate manifestation from the accused;
6. That any material misrepresentation made in his Motion to Travel shall be punished as contempt of this Court and shall be dealt with accordingly;
7. That he will abide faithfully with all his undertakings herein, such that any violation of the terms and conditions contained in this Resolution shall be sufficient ground for this Court to order the forfeiture of his travel bond, as well as to cause the issuance of a warrant for his arrest;
8. That notice of further proceedings hereon should he not return as undertaken, shall be made to him through his counsel on record; and
9. That if he fails to return as undertaken, trial will proceed as scheduled.


SO ORDERED.

GOMEZ-ESTOESTA, J., *Chairperson*

TRESPESES, J.

JACINTO, J.

The image shows three horizontal lines representing signature lines. The top line has a handwritten signature that appears to be 'Gomez'. The middle line has a handwritten signature that appears to be 'Trespeses'. The bottom line has a handwritten signature that appears to be 'Jacinto'.

A faint, illegible stamp or text is visible in the bottom right corner of the page.